

## Appendix A - Representations regarding the Vision made to Issues and Options Report (2012)

The table below includes all representations to the Vision (Issues and Options Report (2012), which made suggestions for change.

Representation No.	Comment
<a href="#">7259 Cambridge Past, Present &amp; Future (Professor Peter Landshoff)</a>	<p>Include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* A city that uses planned growth to address socio-economic inequalities</li> <li>* A City that does not encroach on its green belt unless an exceptionally strong case is made</li> </ul>
<a href="#">7405 Newtown RA (Dr Martin Johnson)</a>	<p>Bullet point 1. i.e. develop within city rather than expand at its edges?            Bullet point 2. needs much stronger wording and application to existing buildings</p>
<a href="#">8182 The I&amp;O Working Group of Windsor Road Residents' Association (The Secretary)</a>	<p>We suggest that this statement should end at the word 'employment' thus</p> <p>"A city where there is a diverse range of employment"</p>
<a href="#">9695 The Wildlife Trust BCN (Mr Martin Baker)</a>	<p>suggest that bullet point 7 is amended to read;            "A city where green spaces, trees, wildlife habitats and the River Cam are protected and enhanced and where new green spaces, wildlife habitats and trees are established for the benefit of residents and the natural environment."</p>
<a href="#">10069 North Newnham Residents Association (Mrs Elizabeth Davies)</a>	<p>Highlight preservation of what makes Cambridge special, e.g. vision doesn't mention protection of historic setting as integral part of its heritage.            Strongly support the need to protect green spaces and trees. This should also refer to maintenance of green corridors.            Reference to a 'clean' city: include freedom from pollution, including light and noise pollution.            The emphasis on 'sensitive' (as well as sustainable) growth in the 2006 plan was important.</p>
<a href="#">10427 Newtown RA (Dr Martin Johnson)</a>	<p>Bullet point 1. i.e. develop within city rather than expand at its edges? Bullet point 2. needs much stronger wording and application to existing buildings</p>
<a href="#">11144 Grosvenor Developments represented by Savills (Mr Colin Campbell )</a>	<p>needs to build on that strategy and continue to support Cambridge as World Leader in research and technology and support economic recovery.</p>
<a href="#">11166 Grosvenor Developments represented by Savills (Mr Colin Campbell )</a>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* tackle rising obesity; and</li> <li>* to get more people participating sport or active recreation</li> </ul> <p>We consider that the vision should reflect the key priorities for health and should explicitly refer to the need for a healthy city and to improve opportunity for participation in sport. We suggest a bullet is added as follows:</p>
<a href="#">11274 Mr A Smith</a>	<p>Include Wildlife</p>
<a href="#">13742 Mr Richard Taylor</a>	<p>Suggesting clarifying "affordable housing"; adding world class telecoms infrastructure to the vision, and also adding a cohesive city, ie. without town/gown family/sharer divisions or even hatred.</p>
<a href="#">14658 Mr John St Leger</a>	<p>suggest addition of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* A city that values and preserves its Green Belt.</li> <li>* A city that promotes the availability of high quality digital technology for business and personal use.</li> <li>* A city that provides for efficient business, leisure and student travel to other parts of the UK and overseas.</li> </ul>

<a href="#">15218</a> <a href="#">Cambridge Cycling Campaign (Committee (Trustees) of the Charity)</a>	Encourage sustainable transport modes and Dutch-quality infrastructure
<a href="#">15799</a> <a href="#">Dr Gay Meeks</a>	Expand to include: historic setting; green corridors (bringing wildlife into the Backs) as well as green spaces (including gardens and playing fields) and trees; low levels of air, noise and light pollution; sensitive development planning; world-class public transport to complement walking and cycling routes.
<a href="#">16122</a> <a href="#">Deborah Meller</a>	1) The City should provide a range of employment focussed on the service sector; 2) Much of the housing and employment should be created in 'centres' within the City and in surrounding villages, and that any City Plan should be linked to SCDC plans; 3) The vision should promote efficient and sustainable commuting, beyond 'local centres' to nearby villages and science parks. 'Accessibility' should focus on public transport and cycleways, as congestion must be reduced inside the City while protecting surrounding villages from becoming 'rat runs'.
<a href="#">16446</a> <a href="#">Sue Woodsford</a>	Should promote local food production, community gardens and wildlife habitats
<a href="#">16495</a> <a href="#">Sellwood Planning (R. M Sellwood)</a>	The vision should be augmented by the following - it should seek to meet the objectively assessed housing needs of the area (NPPF para. 17) - it should better balance the size of the local (City and South Cambs.) workforce to the anticipated growth in jobs - it should commit to the permanence of the current Green Belt boundaries. Without these additional elements the vision will fail to provide adequate guidance and would be unsound.
<a href="#">17099</a> <a href="#">Mrs Anna Wakerley</a>	Should speak of Greening or City not just Green Spaces
<a href="#">17217</a> <a href="#">Julien Hofer</a>	I don't want a "world class city that is dynamic..." if you actually mean "more dynamic". I am happy with it as it is.  "A city that builds on the city's reputation...". the reputation as a leader is fine as it is. Why do we have to build upon it? residents don't benefit from growth  "A city where there is enough good quality housing..." amend this to include " for the existing population and residents"  "A city that encourages innovation and requires design..." This is way outside the council's brief.
<a href="#">17638</a> <a href="#">Mr T. I. P. Dummett</a>	Should include reference to South Cambs and how this effects vision
<a href="#">6833</a> <a href="#">Dr Philippa Brice</a>	Good social mix must mean not only 'social' housing but also stock that average and wealthier people can afford.
<a href="#">7037</a> <a href="#">Dr Anthony J Cooper</a>	Need to have clear vision and joint working with surrounding districts
<a href="#">7153</a> <a href="#">Mr Jonathan Green</a>	The policy on transport should be to maintain freedom of movement for all classes of traffic, not just of a minority interest, with proper engineering for 'flow'.  The evasion of the needs of a majority, enjoying the single most important democratic achievement of the twentieth century, which enables modern living is undemocratic, unsound (there will be unpolluting fuels), is not future proof (in a document which will run until 2031) and ignores: transport infrastructure is a concomittant of a Modern Economy'. It is a requirement of the Growth Equation'. The policy should be reversed.
<a href="#">7251</a> <a href="#">Cambridge Past, Present &amp; Future (Professor Peter Landshoff)</a>	Include using planned growth to address socio-economic inequalities

<p><a href="#">7406</a> <a href="#">Newtown RA (Dr Martin Johnson)</a></p>	<p>No mention of the major improvement in public transport or how to deal with commuter traffic - fundamental to continued success of the city. There is a separate county transport plan, but the city should set out its own vision on this issue. The uniqueness of the city's conservation areas, compactness, city core and green spaces should be stressed much more with emphasis on providing REAL protection and the retention of those elements that make them unique e.g. in Newtown the terraces and green spaces. MUST ensure that growth does not adversely affect this</p>
<p><a href="#">8287</a> <a href="#">Mr Stefan Collini</a></p>	<p>This 'vision' is dominated by an unanalysed idea of 'economic growth'. Cambridge will anyway remain an exceptionally prosperous area for a long time to come; what is needed is a vision of how to make this prosperity compatible with protecting the quality of life and the natural and built environment. The present 'vision' quite wrongly favours forms of 'growth' which will be damaging to these more important values.</p>
<p><a href="#">8582</a> <a href="#">Trumpington Residents Association (Mr Andrew Roberts)</a></p>	<p>1. Split Bullet one into two 2. not only new developments, but also improve the sustainability of existing housing 3. this is the appropriate point to refer to 'world-class', and include the education, technology and biomedical sectors 4. 'needs of all' is meaningless; the Council has limited influence over the diversity of employment and should not be encouraging employment in all sectors but should be concentrating on supporting the education, technology and biomedical sectors where Cambridge has excellence; 7. stress that the city protects and develops green spaces and corridors within its boundary and the surrounding Green Belt, including the enhancement of public access to the countryside 9. stress the importance of high quality public transport, including its availability and environmental quality 12. stress the value of local centres and neighbourhoods and spreading the availability of community facilities out from the centre We think an additional point should be added: a city which works in partnership with South Cambridgeshire District Council and Cambridgeshire County Council, with a joined-up strategy for homes, employment, infrastructure (particularly transport) and the environment including the enhancement of the Green Belt.</p>
<p><a href="#">8676</a> <a href="#">Mr Robin Pellew</a></p>	<p>Give greater prominence to protecting Cambridge as a compact city, to protecting its heritage and culture, and to promoting the University of Cambridge as a world-class institution.</p>
<p><a href="#">8794</a> <a href="#">NHS Cambridgeshire (Ms Inger O'Meara)</a></p>	<p>important to emphasise the importance of creating an environment which provides opportunities for all and that strives to narrow health inequalities.</p>
<p><a href="#">9426</a> <a href="#">Dr Michael Kemp</a></p>	<p>Need to clarify what compact means. The transport objectives ignore the valid needs of the motorist. Suggest it should read "An uncongested and clean city, where all modes of transport are supported and where sufficient road and parking infrastructure is provided for the city population (even if this means that growth has to be restricted).</p>
<p><a href="#">9820</a> <a href="#">Mrs Elizabeth Davies</a></p>	<p>Should include preservation of what makes Cambridge special, e.g. vision doesn't mention protection of historic setting as an integral part of heritage. Strongly support need to protect green spaces and trees. This should also refer to maintenance of green corridors. Reference to a 'clean' city: should refer to freedom from pollution, including light pollution. Emphasis on 'sensitive' (as well as sustainable) growth in the 2006 plan was important. For the sake of those who cannot readily walk very far or cycle (considerable group?), public transport needs to be improved a lot if this norm is to be achieved without undue disadvantage.</p>
<p><a href="#">9895</a> <a href="#">Mr Roger Crabtree</a></p>	<p>minor suggestions 1. point 3 suggest global leader 2. point 7 new open green spaces must also be accessible (we don't want to encourage gated communities some phrases need to be more sharply defined eg what does 'an enviable quality of life' mean in point 10 If we just have undefined generalisations we won't ever know what we are</p>

	aiming for or whether we have achieved it
<a href="#">10139</a> <a href="#">Transition</a> <a href="#">Cambridge (Nicola Terry)</a>	The vision should include a reference to the importance of vocational training (ie trades and technicians) not just higher education. This is important because they are needed for a vibrant, diverse local economy  Also the vision should mention the importance of making best use of local resources to satisfy local needs wherever appropriate - such as local sustainable food provision.
<a href="#">10185</a> <a href="#">PSRA Committee</a> <a href="#">(Cornelis van Rijsbergen)</a>	Stick with Local Plan 2006 Vision
<a href="#">10322</a> <a href="#">Ms Ann Mitchell</a>	Need to reference food – protecting farmland and encouraging food production and supporting food enterprises
<a href="#">10419</a> <a href="#">Mr Robert Sansom</a>	In order for the city to continue to grow economically, housing must also be provided in neighbouring towns & villages, such as Northstowe, Royston, Waterbeach, etc. Therefore the plan must also include a goal of providing public transport and good cycling links to these other developments.
<a href="#">10633</a> <a href="#">Dr Peter Pope</a>	Higher education and tourism attract international traffic so the city must take responsibility for the air miles it generates. This is just one example of our impact beyond the city boundary. Economic growth causes climate change. A vision of Cambridge - City of Well-being.
<a href="#">10972</a> <a href="#">Gonville and Caius College</a> <a href="#">represented by Beacon Planning</a> <a href="#">(Miss Charlotte Burton)</a>	There is no explicit statement to protect Green Belt
<a href="#">11565</a> <a href="#">Mr Tim Philbin</a>	Needs to say "A city with a strong commitment to providing plentiful social rented housing as a way to provide housing for the many people who work in the city thereby cutting the need to commute from outside the city as a way to reduce the city's carbon footprint"
<a href="#">11689</a> <a href="#">University of Cambridge (Mr Paul Milliner)</a>	The draft Vision includes 'A city that builds on the city's reputation as a leader in higher education and research, recognising the importance of the University of Cambridge, the Colleges and Anglia Ruskin University.' That part of the Vision is weaker than the equivalent part of the 2006 Local Plan version, for Cambridge to 'continue to develop as a centre of excellence and world leader in the fields of higher education and research, and it will foster dynamism, prosperity and further expansion of the knowledge-based economy.' The 2006 version better reflects the University's aspirations, and should be retained.
<a href="#">12023</a> <a href="#">ROMSEY ACTION</a> <a href="#">(Mr Colin Wiles)</a>	5th bullet point should be amended to read as follows: "A city where there is a range of good quality housing of different types and sizes that is affordable to people on average incomes, with balanced and integrated communities of all household types;"
<a href="#">12068</a> <a href="#">Tom Bragg (Mr Tom Bragg)</a>	More emphasis on current buildings and their retrofit to help the city's transition to a successful low carbon economy. 80% of present housing stock will still be with us in 2050, so most of the carbon reduction associated with housing will have to come from retrofitting older buildings  Add: "protecting biodiversity" - to protect the natural environment and people's enjoyment and connection with it.  Add: "food sustainability" - to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, encourage local employment, enable residents to feel confident in the provenance of their food and to build resilience in relation to food.
<a href="#">13117</a> <a href="#">LaSalle Investment Management</a> <a href="#">represented by Mr Stuart Blakley</a>	Additional wording emphasising that current housing needs should be met and future housing needs should be planned for, should be added to strengthen this part of the Council's vision.
<a href="#">13306</a> <a href="#">Mr Tom Wilson</a>	stress the importance of good design, in all its shape and forms - architecture, urban design, green spaces. Good design is sustainable, inclusive, innovative

	and provides value for money.
<a href="#">13807</a> <a href="#">Mrs Bridget Hardy</a>	emphasise the need for green spaces to be available to all residents (including children) within walking distance
<a href="#">14261</a> <a href="#">Mr. Alastair Boyles</a>	The vision is too small. We need to address the greater issues of population growth and climate change with more imagination, as well as preserving our compact city. High rise development is essential. Cambridge should become a beacon for urban design that can lead the world in the search for solutions to our looming problems
<a href="#">14358</a> <a href="#">Riverside Area Residents' Association (RARA)</a> <a href="#">(Mrs Lynette Gilbert)</a>	Emphasise public transport. "enough" housing is too vague, ditto local centres
<a href="#">14411</a> <a href="#">K Maynard</a>	must be a clear vision to enhance what makes Cambridge special - for example its heritage, cultural and innovative activities and varying communities. To improve our quality of life the city must be well connected with transport and other links and it must have culture at its heart. Good design of public spaces and buildings is vital and must be required in the Local Plan. Key cultural buildings such as arts and archives centres and others could provide an opportunity to showcase innovation in design. A long term vision is needed.
<a href="#">14412</a> <a href="#">Januarys Consultant Surveyors (Mr Colin Brown)</a>	<p>1. We do not believe that it is terribly relevant to continue to describe Cambridge as "compact". Whilst we think it is important that it be known as a dynamic and thriving City, the phrase "compact" has little meaning in this context, and ignores the fact that the City is expanding at a relatively fast pace following a number of years of limited peripheral development only. We would therefore suggest the removal of the word "compact" from the first bullet point in Option 1.</p> <p>2. In the Council's third bullet point under Option 1, the inference is that the City's reputation in higher education and research is limited to the University of Cambridge, the Colleges and Anglia Ruskin University. This completely ignore that there are other educational providers in the City, who also contribute to the City's reputation, and who attract students both nationally and internationally. This includes a number of specialist Colleges who are not formally part of the University, and also includes a number of tutorial colleges and language schools. There seems to be no valid reason why these are omitted from the Cambridge 2031 Vision.</p> <p>3. The sixth bullet point under Option 1 relates to design. We think it would be beneficial if the comment here could reflect the fact that development may be of a complementary, yet contemporary nature, as the bullet point as currently written suggests that there may be a requirement to echo or mimic existing vernacular built forms.</p> <p>4. With regard to the seventh bullet point relative to green spaces, whilst it is reasonable to suggest that these and other elements of "green infrastructure" should be protected and enhanced, we consider that the words "where possible and appropriate" should be added. There may be circumstances where some development within a green space is desirable, and it must be accepted that green spaces should not simply be preserved without exception.</p> <p>5. The eighth bullet point regarding heritage should be expanded. It should be confirmed that appropriate development may be promoted alongside existing heritage assets, and that this can often be an appropriate way to ensure the regeneration of heritage assets.</p> <p>6. The ninth bullet point regarding alternative modes of transport should perhaps be more modestly put. It is reasonable for a vision to have lofty aspirations, but to suggest that travel will "normally" be carried out on foot, bicycle or public transport may be to set the bar too high. It would be more appropriate to suggest that this could be the "norm" for a significant number of people, however, the significant amount of daily in-commuting into the City will make the achievement of that as an overall objective simply too difficult.</p>
<a href="#">14818</a> <a href="#">Mr Frank D.M Wilson</a>	Add something about encouraging electric vehicles/hybrids/smaller low-emission vehicles
<a href="#">15410</a> <a href="#">English Heritage</a>	Paragraph 2.2 implies a restricted definition of sustainable development rather than that set out in the NPPF. The historic environment should be a central part

<p><a href="#">(East of England Region) (Katharine Fletcher)</a></p>	<p>of the vision. It is important that the vision is closely related to Cambridge and is not simply generic. In this respect, bullet 8 seems hardly adequate for an ancient university city with nationally and internationally significant built heritage. We suggest the following changes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Bullet 1 'A world class city that is compact, dynamic and where the architectural riches of the University and cultural vitality enhance the city centre.'</li> <li>- Bullet 6 'A city that encourages innovation and requires design excellence, embracing design that contributes positively to Cambridge's distinctive identity and acknowledges the scale and massing of the city townscape'.</li> <li>- Bullet 8 'A city defined by the exceptional heritage at its core, which positively conserves and enhances all heritage assets, including their settings, and the character of the wider townscape'</li> </ul>
<p><a href="#">15695 Ms Vicki Peacey</a></p>	<p>Public Health responsibilities are moving into local government and the City Council has many levers and ways to improve the health and wellbeing of residents, and can work with the County Council on this as well –Reflect this more clearly in the Vision and throughout the Council's work.</p>
<p><a href="#">16879 Cambridge Colleges' Bursars' Building and Planning Sub Committee (BBPSC) represented by Savills (Mr William Lusty)</a></p>	<p>The vision should also specifically recognise the importance of Cambridge University and its Colleges. The Collegiate University of Cambridge is a key, if not, the single most important driver of the Cambridge economy.</p>
<p><a href="#">16995 Greater Cambridge Greater Peterborough Enterprise Partnership (Miss Natalie Blaken)</a></p>	<p>The Local Plan needs to promote a pro- growth strategy for the Cambridge area that is based upon exploiting its key economic strengths and opportunities. This strategy should be supported by an economic vision and objectives /KPI's which seek to meet the needs of existing and future businesses and communities.</p>
<p><a href="#">17053 the Parish Councils of Barton, Coton and Madingley (David Cairns)</a></p>	<p>Take into account Quarter to Six Vision which was developed in line with the Localism act. If it is not adopted they will try to get it adopted as a neighbourhood plan</p>
<p><a href="#">17377 RLW Estates represented by Boyer Planning (Mr Matthew Clarke)</a></p>	<p>should additionally refer to the protection of the Green Belt and specifically the retention of the existing boundary. This is consistent with the references to a compact city (Bullet 1) and the protection of green infrastructure (Bullet 7) but should be an important statement of the Vision in its own right. Direct reference should also be made to the importance of rail as a key element of public transport in the city.</p>
<p><a href="#">17422 Mr Robert Evans</a></p>	<p>Too ambitious, does not take into account finite water resources</p>
<p><a href="#">17578 Southacre, Latham and Chaucer Road Residents' Association (SOLACHRA) (Mr John. W Smith)</a></p>	<p>Need to see new developments completed before starting new ones, in order to assess the impact on infrastructure. Need to balance growth with preserving the character of Cambridge and protect green belt.</p>
<p><a href="#">18277 Accordia Community Residents Association represented by Paul Drew (Mr Paul Drew)</a></p>	<p>The ideas in Chapter 2 on vision need to be sharpened up to reflect the implications of sustainable development. The suggested vision covered in 2.1 and includes a lot of material which is not really visionary. A vision statement needs to be brief, bold, translatable into specific objectives, and to reflect stretching but realistic ambition. Councillors and officials need to be able to rally to it. Given the central importance of sustainable development, Cambridge's already strong foundations in each of the three development strands and its worldwide reputation, something on the</p>

	<p>following lines looks right; Cambridge aims to be an international beacon for sustainable development for the benefit of its present and future citizens, with an approach to planning which rejects unsustainable approaches, and implementation arrangements which use all the available levers to ensure effective delivery</p>
--	---